To: Housenger, Jack[Housenger.Jack@epa.gov]; Jordan, William[Jordan.William@epa.gov]

Cc: Wise, Louise[Wise.Louise@epa.gov]

From: Taveau, Daniella

Sent: Tue 11/17/2015 11:13:50 PM

Subject: Fwd: Emerging Philippines Issue That May Be Appropriate to Raise on Margins of APEC

Another proposed ban of glyphosate in the Philippines.

Daniella

Begin forwarded message:

From: "TRAVIS, JAMES K [AG/1920]" < james.k.travis@monsanto.com>

Date: November 17, 2015 at 2:23:23 PM EST

To: "Julia Doherty (Julia Doherty@ustr.eop.gov)" < Julia Doherty@ustr.eop.gov>

Cc: "Daniella Taveau (taveau.daniella@epa.gov)" <taveau.daniella@epa.gov>, Marcella

Szymanski <<u>szymanskimb@state.gov</u>>, "TRAVIS, JAMES K [AG/1920]"

<james.k.travis@monsanto.com>

Subject: Emerging Philippines Issue That May Be Appropriate to Raise on Margins of APEC

Julia,

Thanks for your counsel just now on the phone regarding our emerging issue in the Philippines.

As I mentioned, I just learned today from my colleagues in Manila that the Philippine Council for Agriculture & Fisheries (PCAF) – an advisory body within the Department of Agriculture composed of representatives of Regional & Provincial Councils for Agriculture & Fisheries (RAFC & PAFC) and whose Executive Director is appointed by the President – recently proposed a ban on the use & sale of glyphosate to the Department of Agriculture.

The Department of Agriculture does not have jurisdiction on restricting its use and I understand that the recommendation has referred to the Fertilizer & Pesticides Authority (FPA) which reports to the Presidential Assistant on Food Security & Agricultural Modernization.

Given the Minister's Statement released today at APEC on *Food Security, Agricultural Technical Cooperation, and Agricultural Biotechnology* in Manila and the recent US intervention at the WTO SPS Committee, perhaps US officials from our APEC team can signal concern on the margins of the APEC meetings to the Philippines delegation regarding how Philippines may approach this issue while reminding them of the US intervention regarding WTO obligations. An approach that would ignore prevailing science could be viewed as being in conflict with the principles of the Minister's Statement just issued.

This is the extent of detail I have at the moment. I think a USG exploratory conversation with Philippines officials seeking an update on this issue might be appropriate.

Please let me know if you need anything else from me immediately. I will share more details as they become available.

Jim

Jim Travis

Many thanks,

Director, International Government Affairs & Trade

Monsanto Company

1300 I Street, NW

Suite 450 East

Washington, DC 20005

Direct: (202) 383-2864

Cellular: (202) 255-1308

e-mail: james.k.travis@monsanto.com

This e-mail message may contain privileged and/or confidential information, and is intended to be received only by persons entitled to receive such information. If you have received this e-mail in error, please notify the sender immediately. Please delete it and all attachments from any servers, hard drives or any other media. Other use of this e-mail by you is strictly prohibited.

All e-mails and attachments sent and received are subject to monitoring, reading and archival by Monsanto, including its subsidiaries. The recipient of this e-mail is solely responsible for checking for the presence of "Viruses" or other "Malware". Monsanto, along with its subsidiaries, accepts no liability for any damage caused by any such code transmitted by or accompanying this e-mail or any attachment.

The information contained in this email may be subject to the export control laws and regulations of the United States, potentially including but not limited to the Export Administration Regulations (EAR) and sanctions regulations issued by the U.S. Department of Treasury, Office of Foreign Asset Controls (OFAC). As a recipient of this information you are obligated to comply with all applicable U.S. export laws and regulations.